Oct 22, 2024



DigitalExonomics | Musculoskeletal Exoskeleton Assessment with AnyBodyTM



The webcast will begin shortly...



AnyBody

Outline

- Introduction to the AnyBody Modeling System
- Presentation
- Upcoming AnyBody events
- Question and answer session

Presenters

• Dr. Sascha Ullmann

Head of Digital Planning Ergonomics

Events

Imk Industrial Intelligence GmbH, Chemnitz

• M.Sc. Lukas Gschoßmann

Research Associate Biomechanics

Laboratory for Biomechanics OTH Regensburg



Host

Kristoffer Iversen

Technical Sales Executive

AnyBody Technology





Q&A





Control Panel

A

AnyBody

Presentation

Events

Q&A

Musculoskeletal simulations







Motion analysis



Outline



Control Panel





Ergonomics with/without exoskeletons





AnyBody



Orthopedics and Rehabilitation

Presentation



Q&A



Sports



Automotive



Q&A

Workflow



AnyBody



Digital Exonomics & ema 2 AnyBody

© imk Industrial Intelligence GmbH

Digital Exonomics Overview

• Topic:

Digital Work Design and Ergonomics Asessment for the Use of Occupational Exoskeletons

- **Term:** 10.09.2021 29.02.2024
- Research Focus:
 - Early efficiency analyses of exoskeletons using validated 3D simulations and markerless motion capture

 Creation and enhancement of interfaces between a system for markerless motion capture (Captury), a biomechanical human model (AnyBody) and an ergonomic human model (ema) for detailed analyses and evaluations







GEFÖRDERT VOM

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





imk Industrial Intelligence GmbH

ema 😋 ^{imk}



From Factory to Workplace Design within one Software Suite



ema 😋 ^{imk}

Functionalities ema Work Designer



Simulate in every step, shape & scale





Line production

Cell / Workshop production

Specific applications

ema Software Suite – Customers & Partners

ema 😋 ^{imk}





ema C^{imk}



- Combines advantages of AnyBody's biomechanical human model and ema human model for 3D process planning
- Data transfer from ema Work Designer to AnyBody to enable fast biomechanical analyses with ema movement sequences for industrial use cases
- Ergonomic analyses enriched with biomechanical parameters for **further analyses in the planning process**



ema2AnyBody – General Workflow Ergonomic Evaluation

Goal: Design an Ergonomic Work Process



ema c imk

ema2AnyBody – Data Transfer





ema2AnyBody – Results Example







ema2AnyBody – Interface Solution Details

Area in which the **user** operates

ANYMCR-Batch

x

•

ema



• Motion-optimized .bvh ema Import in ema **Further analyses** (motion-optimized .bvh file) (time and other ergonomic assessments e.g. EAWS®)

Integration of Exoskeletons in ema Work Designer

- Implementation of exemplary exoskeletons for shoulder and back support
- Consideration of exoskeleton use in **ergonomic assessment**







	evaluation results without exoskeleton evaluation results with Paexo] 25.5 24.5] 25 25	
whole body [pts]	25.5	24.5
postures sum [pts]	2.5	2.5
action forces [pts]	0	0
manual handling [pts]	23	20
extra points [pts]	0	2
Upper limbs [pts]	7	7
Σ Force & Frequency & Grip [pts]	1	1
Σ Hand-/Arm-/Shoulder postures [pts]	0	0
Σ Additional factors [pts]	0	0
Σ Duration Points [pts]	6.8	6.8

Conclusions

First link between the worlds of biomechanical human modeling and 3D Production Planning

Prototypical interface between AnyBody & ema Work Designer provides enhanced ergonomic evaluations and improved visualisation of movement based on biomechanical simulation

Outlook

Further investigation and development of the interface between ema and AnyBody, e.g.

- Consideration of **balance conditions** in ema
- Inclusion of **further key figures from AnyBody** in ema GUI, e.g.
 - Muscle Activities
 - Muscle Forces
 - Joint Moments
- Consideration of fatigue for work organisation (break management)



ema cimk

Interested in ema?

- More information on our website: https://imk-ema.com/en/
- Download demo version for free! <u>https://imk-ema.com/en/support/downloads/</u>
- Free tutorials in our ema Academy





ema C^{imk}

ema 🖍 ^{imk}

Your contact:



Dr.-Ing. Sascha Ullmann

Division Manager Digital Planning & Ergonomics

+49 (0) 172 462 68 42 sascha.ullmann@imk-ic.com www.imk-industrial-intelligence.com





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Digitale Arbeitsgestaltung und Ergonomiebewertung

für die Anwendung industrieller Exoskelette



Overview



Captury – AnyBody Model



Unrealistic ankle flexion in some cases (too much flexion)

- Experimental protocol
- 18 subjects (12 m, 6 w)
- 17 ROM movements, 3x per DOF





Marker-based Motion Capture

- 12 VICON cameras
- 240 Hz
- 53 markers



DIGITAL EXONOMICS

Marker-less Motion Capture



- 8 machine vision cameras
- 60 Hz
- Resolution: ≈1px/cm
- CapturyLive v.250



Marker-based Marker-less **Motion Capture Motion Capture** capturij ANYBODY ANYBODY Simulation: 60 Hz Simulation: 60 Hz ٠ • Joint angles from Kinematics from C3D file Kinematics from C3D file • ٠ musculoskeletal model (physical marker set) (virtual marker set) n python MAE Pearson r MAE_{ROM} R²

Modelling and Data Analysis



MAE and confidence interval for the upper extremity

- High agreement for shoulder flexion/abduction and elbow flexion
- Deficits in palm tracking: large deviations in elbow pronation and hand abduction
- Hand flexion: inconsistent tracking quality
 - Works well for some cases
 - High errors for some cases



Head and thorax

MAE and confidence interval for head and thorax

- Deviation in head kinematics due to Vicon headband (different wearing style + movement artifacts)
- → High correlation: Generally good tracking quality coupled with constant offset caused by headband position
- Pelvis/Thorax flexion/rotation is transferred to the hip
- \rightarrow Low deviation if hip and thorax joint are combined



MAE and confidence interval for the lower extremity

- Small to very small deviations in combination with high *r* and R² values for the lower extremities
- RMSE of lower extremities within range of inertial¹ or other markerless² MoCap systems
- For ankle inversion some motion is probably transferred to hip rotation

[1] Karatsidis et al. (2019), Med Eng Phys[2] Kanko et al. (2021), J Biomech

Conclusion:

- Considerably improved recording conditions
- Some motion is transferred to other joints
- Evaluation only for the AnyBody Modeling System
- Hand tracking needs improvement (already in progress)
- Main joint angles are as good as other non marker-based motion capture systems



• Joint angles during CMJ:



Boxplot MAE joint angles during CMJ

- Similar results to previous (kinematics only) study
- MAE ranges from 1.7° (hip abduction) to 10.4° (knee flexion)
- Mostly (very) high correlation except hip rotation
- Captury overestimates knee flexion



• Joint angles during walking:

- Similar results to previous (kinematics only) study and CMJ
- MAE ranges from 2.4° (hip abduction, slow walking) to 8.5° (hip flexion, fast walking)
- MAE increases with walking speed
- Hip flexion:
 - High deviation
 - Overestimation by Captury
- Captury overestimates knee flexion for small flexion angles

• JRF and GRF during CMJ:



 MAE for JRF ranges from 20.3 %BW (hip) to 61.9 %BW (knee) at GRF 1.6 %BW

- Relative error ranges from 3.4% (GRF) to 6.4% (ankle)
- $r \ge 0.95$ for all parameters
- Captury underestimates peak JRF in the knee and ankle joint



• JRF and GRF during walking:

Boxplot MAE for JRF and GRF during walking (medium speed)

- MAE for JRF ranges from 23.3 %BW (hip, slow walking) to 57.4 %BW (ankle, fast walking) at GRF 3.2-4.6 %BW
- Relative error ranges from 2.8% (GRF, slow walking) to 7.3% (knee, fast walking)
- $r \ge 0.91$ for all parameters/speeds
- Captury overestimates peak JRF in the knee and ankle joint
- Error range increase with walking speed

Conclusion:

- Kinematics comparable with ROM study and literature values and within the accuracy range of marker-based systems
- Overestimation of small knee angles leads to overestimation of peak forces in the knee and ankle joints when walking (at heel strike)
- Generally low relative error (<8%) for joint reaction forces, but deviations for peak forces
- Relative deviation in joint reaction forces within the accuracy range of musculoskeletal models

- Motion Capture model in AnyBody with largely automated data input → Minimizing user error
- Reduced effort during recording with marker-less system
- Accuracy of kinematics and kinetics comparable to literature and other motion capture systems: but deviations for peak JRF

Contact





Dr. Simon Auer simon.auer@outlook.com



Lukas Gschoßmann, M.Sc. OTH Regensburg Laboratory for Biomechanics <u>lukas.gschossmann@oth-regensburg.de</u>



Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sebastian Dendorfer OTH Regensburg Laboratory for Biomechanics <u>sebastian.dendorfer@oth-regensburg.de</u>



Presentation

Resources

www.anybodytech.com

- Events, Webcast library, Publication list, ...
- www.anyscript.org •
 - Wiki, Blog, Repositories, Forum
- **Events**
 - AnyBody Technology visiting Chicago, IL •
 - November 7 17, 2024
 - 16th annual meeting of the Danish Society of • Biomechanics in Roskilde, Denmark.
 - November 22, 2024 •





Control Panel

AnyBody

Presentation

Events

Q&A

1100+

publications

filter by:

Industry

Research area

Body part

100+

webcasts

filter by:

Industry

Publi	cation list		Webcast library	
Resources	/ Publication list		Resources / Webcast library	
sports e	xoskeleton work place ergonomics orthopedics defense aerospace automotive consumer products furniture		All Aerospace All use cases Animal Assistive devices Product presentations Sports	Automotive Consumer Ergon Universities Workplace ergono
Researce gait mer Body pa knee Tor NEW Year 1 2024 2024	h area thods validation animal sensitivity analysis rehab seating fea AnyBody Tech selected Int wer extremity foot spine upper extremity hand shoulder hip mandible wrist trunk elbow ankle leg 15 Publications Law MJJ, Ridzwan MIZ, Karunagaran J, Abdul Halim NSS, Abdullah NA, Law KS, Mohd Ripin Z, (2024), "A preliminary biomechanical assessment and user perceptions of a motorized lifter for patient transfer". Assist. Technol., vol. 00, pp. 1-12. [DOI, WWW] Abdullah M, Hulleck AA, Katmah R, Khalaf K, El-Rich M, (2024), "Multibody dynamics-based musculoskeletal modeling for gait analysis a systematic review". J. Neuroeng. Rehabil., vol. 21. [DOI, WWW] Zadoń H, Michnik R, Nowakowska-Lipiec K, (2024), "Assessment of musculoskeletal loads among office workers due to predicted BMI		Digital Exonomics Musculoskeletal Exoskeleton Assessment with AnyBody™	New AnyBody Thoracic Ribcage and Abdomina 6. June 2024 New AnyBoc Ribcage and Hamed Shayestehpour, A
2024 2024	increase". Appl. Sci., vol. 14, pp. 8928. [DOI, WWW] Hu F, Theodorakos I, Andersen MS, Dumas R, Wang X, (2024), "Effects of lumbar lordosis on Spine loads during seating: a simulation study". HAL open sicence, [WWW] Wang F, Zheng Y, Wang Y, Liu K, Xiao M, (2024), "Design of ankle joint rehabilitation robot and evaluation of rehabilitation effect". Int. J. Mechatron. Appl. Mech., [DOI, WWW]	NI		
2024 2024	Zhang L, Ma R, Li H, Wan X, Xu P, Zhu A, Wei P, (2024), "Comparison of knee biomechanical characteristics during gait between patients with knee osteoarthritis and healthy individuals". Heliyon, vol. 10, [DOI, WWW] Hahnemann Y, Weiss M, Bernek M, Boblan I, Götz S, (2024), "Advancing biomechanical simulations: A novel pseudo-rigid-body model of the bar give to bar bar way to be a set of the bar give to bar bar.	NI ga NI		
2024	for flexible beam analysis". Biomechanics (Basel), vol. 4, pp. 566-584. [DOI, WWW] Lund M, Shayestehpour H, (2024), "Enhancing biomechanical spine models with non-linear rhythms". 32nd Annual Meeting of the European Orthopaedic Research Society, [WWW]	NI	Investigation of stair ascending and descending activities on the lifespan of hip implants	From Qualisys Motion Capture to



Webcasts list



Questions

Meet us

Send email to <u>sales@anybodytech.com</u>



Send email to <u>sales@anybodytech.com</u>

Presentation questions

Send email to ki@anybodytech.com

